

Green's conjecture for binary curves *

Marco Franciosi, Elisa Tenni

Abstract

We show that Generic Green's conjecture holds for generic binary curves, through a detailed analysis of the family of scrolls containing fixed rational normal curves.

keyword: algebraic curve, Green's conjecture, binary curve

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 14H10, 14H51, 13D02, 14N25

1 Introduction

Let C be a complex curve and K_C a canonical divisor. Green's conjecture states that if C is a smooth curve of genus $p_a(C)$ then $\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) = 0$ for every $p \geq p_a(C) - \text{Cliff}(C) - 1$, where $\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C)$ denotes the $(p, 1)$ -Koszul cohomology group of K_C and $\text{Cliff}(C)$ is the Clifford index of the curve C (cf. [16] for definitions).

The Clifford index of a generic curve is $\lfloor \frac{p_a(C)-1}{2} \rfloor$ (cf. [4]), so *Generic Green's conjecture* says that if C is a generic curve of genus $p_a(C)$ then

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) = 0 \iff p \geq \frac{p_a(C) - 1}{2}.$$

Generic Green's conjecture has been proved by Voisin in [24] and [25] for curves of every genus, whereas Green's conjecture has been proved in many other cases; among the others let us mention generic curves of given gonality (see Aprodu [2]), curves on K3-surfaces (see Aprodu Farkas [3]), and curves on rational surfaces (see Lelli-Chiesa [22]).

*This research was partially supported by Italian MIUR through PRIN 2010 project "Geometria delle varietà algebriche e dei loro spazi di moduli".

Note that the above results are all based on the deep analysis, introduced by Voisin, of curves lying on $K3$ -surfaces.

The purpose of this paper is to analyze Koszul groups for binary curves via a different approach.

In particular we give a new and elementary proof of Generic Green's conjecture, which is independent from the results of Voisin [24] and [25], based on the study of families of scrolls containing binary curves.

We strongly believe that these methods can be helpful when dealing with Green-type conjectures, since they can be naturally generalized to analogous setups, even in cases where the extension of the original Voisin's arguments seems a formidable task.

For instance, Farkas and Ludwig in [11] recently advanced the so called Prym-Green's conjecture, an analogous of Green's conjecture for line bundles of the form $K_C \otimes A$ with A a non trivial 2-torsion bundle. In [7] and [8] the Prym-Green's conjecture has been proved via computer algebra for low genus.

The usefulness of binary curves is clear in the work [8] of Colombo and Frediani. They have investigated intensively the Koszul cohomology of the canonical and Prym-Canonical binary curves, proving interesting relations among the odd and even genus case and verifying both conjectures by a direct computation (with the help of computer algebra) for low genus, also suggesting that the Prym-Green's conjecture might fail for genus 8 and 16.

A binary curve is a stable curve C consisting of two irreducible and reduced rational curves Γ_1, Γ_2 intersecting transversally in $n + 2$ distinct points. In this paper we show that indeed Green's conjecture holds for a generic binary curve.

By a generic binary curve we mean a curve C such that $[C]$ is generic in $\text{Bin}_{p_a(C)}$, where $\text{Bin}_{p_a(C)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{p_a(C)}$ is the locus of binary curves in the moduli space of genus $p_a(C)$ stable curves.

Our main result is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ be a generic binary curve of arithmetic genus $p_a(C) \geq 3$ and let K_C be a canonical divisor on C .*

Then C has the Koszul cohomology of a generic curve in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{p_a(C)}$, that is, $K_{p,1}(C, K_C) = 0$ if and only if $p \geq \frac{p_a(C)-1}{2}$.

In particular the above theorem implies the following facts.

Corollary 1.2 *A generic binary curve C has maximal gonality $\lfloor \frac{p_a(C)+3}{2} \rfloor$.*

Corollary 1.3 *A generic curve in $\mathcal{M}_{p_a(C)}$ satisfies the Generic Green's conjecture.*

Our method is based on a deep analysis of the family of scrolls containing binary curves. Indeed the leading principle wherefrom Green's conjecture arises is that all non-trivial syzygies are generated by multiseccants to the canonical curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, which, in their turn, are strictly related to the existence of scrolls containing the curve itself.

This analysis turns out to be efficient in the case of a binary curve $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ since in the non-hyperelliptic case $|K_C|$ embeds C as the union of two rational normal curves of degree n in $\mathbb{P}^n$ passing through $n+2$ points in linear general position.

Therefore in section §3 we study families of scrolls containing a given rational curve and families of rational curves contained in a fixed scroll and we consider the incidence correspondence of such families. More specifically such families can be stratified in terms of the degree of the induce map of the embedded curve onto $\mathbb{P}^1$.

On the contrary the Koszul groups of rational curves are well known and easy to compute (see e.g. [16]), and this allows us to get information on the Koszul groups of C thanks to the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,1}(\Gamma_2, K_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{n-p,1}(\Gamma_1, K_C)^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p-1,2}(C, K_C) \rightarrow 0$$

(see §4 for details).

To conclude, we want to point out some natural developments of this approach. Firstly, as already mentioned, our arguments should be useful for the analysis of the Prym-Green's conjecture. Secondly, thanks to the results obtained by the authors in [15], we believe that it is possible to study Clifford's index and Green's conjecture for more general nodal curves.

The paper is organized as follows: in §2 we fix our notation and we recall some basic properties of rational normal scrolls and binary curves. In §3 we study families of scrolls containing binary curves. In §4 we are able to prove our main result Theorem 1.1. Finally in the Appendix we prove some well known results on families of rational normal scrolls whose reference we were not able to find.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Pietro Pirola for his encouragement.

2 Notation and conventions

We work over the field $\mathbb{C}$.

2.1 Notation

We will constantly use the following notation:

n the dimension of the ambient space $\mathbb{P}^n$;

C a binary curve, that is, a stable curve C consisting of two irreducible and reduced rational curves Γ_1, Γ_2 intersecting transversally in $n + 2$ distinct points. We will assume throughout the paper C not hyperelliptic and we will identify C with its canonical embedding $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$.

K_C a canonical divisor on C

Γ a rational normal curve in $\mathbb{P}^n$;

$\mathbb{F}$ a rational scroll (possibly singular) in $\mathbb{P}^n$; $M = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)|_{\mathbb{F}}$; L the pull-back of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ via the standard projection $\pi : \mathbb{F} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$;

$\underline{a}$ a multindex of d non-negative integers $\underline{a} = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$ such that $\sum a_i = n - d + 1$;

$\mathbb{F}_{\underline{a}} = \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ the scroll $\mathbb{P}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i))$;

$\mathcal{G}$ the family of rational normal curves in $\mathbb{P}^n$;

$\mathcal{F}$ the family of rational normal scrolls of dimension d and degree $n - d + 1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$;

$\mathcal{F}(Y)$ the family of rational normal scrolls in $\mathcal{F}$ containing a given scheme $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$;

$\mathcal{G}(Y)$ the family of rational normal curves in $\mathcal{G}$ containing a given scheme $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^n$;

$\mathcal{F}_{\underline{a}}$ the family of rational normal scrolls in $\mathbb{F}$ such that $\mathbb{F} \cong \varphi_{|M|}(\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d))$;

$\mathcal{F}_0$ the family of balanced scrolls, i.e. the family $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{a}}$ corresponding to the multindex $\underline{a}$ such that $|a_i - a_1| \leq 1$; note that this $\underline{a}$ is unique up to permutation;

$\mathcal{F}(Y)_{\underline{a}}$ the family $\mathcal{F}(Y) \cap \mathcal{F}_{\underline{a}}$;

$\text{Aut}(\underline{a})$ the group $\text{Aut}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i))$.

2.2 Rational normal scrolls

Our approach to the study of rational normal scrolls follows the exposition in [23, Chapter 2].

Remark 2.1 When dealing with rational normal scrolls, we will use the notation of [23, Chapter 2]. In particular a rational normal scroll $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ has coordinates $(y_1, \dots, y_d), (t_0, t_1)$. The map $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ corresponds to the coordinates (t_0, t_1) . Moreover the divisor class group is a free group generated by L , the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, and M a relative hyperplane, which is characterized by the property that the locus $(y_i = 0)$ is a divisor in the class $-a_i L + M$.

We will assume usually that $a_i \geq 0$ for every i . In this case M is a base point free divisor, and it induces a map $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, with $n = \sum_i a_i + d - 1$. If $a_i > 0$ for some i the map is birational onto its image, if moreover $a_i > 0$ for every i the map is an embedding.

Since no confusion should arise, *we will call rational normal scroll not only the variety $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$, but also its birational image in $\mathbb{P}^n$* . Notice that these schemes are not, in general, smooth nor rational normal scrolls according to [23], but other authors make not this distinction (see for example [9]).

Remark 2.2 It is well known (see [10, Theorem 1]) that a nondegenerate irreducible variety $\mathbb{F}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ has degree at least $\text{codim}(\mathbb{F}) + 1$. If equality holds, then either $\mathbb{F}$ is a rational normal scroll, or it is a cone over the Veronese surface $\mathbb{P}^2 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^5$, or it is a quadric hypersurface.

If $\mathbb{F}$ is a rational normal scroll, we know that there exists a unique smooth rational normal scroll $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ with $a_i \geq 0$ for every i , such that $\mathbb{F}$ is the birational image of $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ via the map induced by the linear system $|M|$

$$\varphi_{|M|}: \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{P}^n.$$

In particular this induces a rational map $\mathbb{F} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, which is the composition of the inverse of $\varphi_{|M|}$ and the projection $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.

Remark 2.3 Fix a multindex $\underline{a} = \{a_1, \dots, a_d\}$, consider a scroll $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$, and the associated morphism: $\varphi: \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$.

Let $\mathbb{F} := \varphi(\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d))$. By the birationality of φ , a rational normal curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{F}$ corresponds to a unique embedding $\psi: \Gamma \hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ which can be explicitly written with respects to the coordinates (s_0, s_1) on Γ :

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \quad \Gamma &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \\ (s_0, s_1) &\mapsto (y_1(s_0, s_1), \dots, y_d(s_0, s_1)) \times (t_0(s_0, s_1), t_1(s_0, s_1)) \end{aligned}$$

and the induced map $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the composition:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \\ (s_0, s_1) &\mapsto (t_0(s_0, s_1), t_1(s_0, s_1)) \end{aligned}$$

whose degree is $\deg t_0(s_0, s_1) = \deg t_1(s_0, s_1) = k$.

Since Γ is a rational normal curve in $\mathbb{P}^n$ we have that $\deg(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)|_{\Gamma}) = \Gamma \cdot M = n$. The divisor $(y_i = 0) \subset \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ is in the linear system $|M - a_i L|$, hence $\deg y_i(s_0, s_1) = n - a_i k$.

Remark 2.4 Let $p = p(h)$ be the Hilbert polynomial of any irreducible normal scroll of dimension d and degree $n - d + 1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$, and let $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^n)$ be the Hilbert scheme of such rational normal scrolls.

By a family of rational normal scrolls in $\mathbb{P}^n$ we mean a subscheme of $\text{Hilb}^p(\mathbb{P}^n)$.

Now we point out the following theorem, whose proof we relegate in Appendix A. It collects some well known results on families of scrolls and of rational normal curves in $\mathbb{P}^n$, whose reference we were not able to find.

Theorem 2.5 • *The dimension of the family $\mathcal{F}$ of rational normal scrolls of dimension d and degree $n - d + 1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is $n^2 + 2n - 2 - d^2$;*

- *the dimension of the family $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{a}}$ of rational normal scrolls in $\mathcal{F}$ bi-rational to the scroll $\mathbb{F}(\underline{a}) = \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ is $n^2 + 2n - 2 - d^2 - (\dim \text{Aut}(\underline{a}) - d^2)$;*
- *The family $\mathcal{F}_0$ corresponding to scrolls $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{a}}$ such that $|a_i - a_1| \leq 1 \forall i > 1$ is dense in the family $\mathcal{F}$ of rational normal scrolls of dimension d and degree $n - d + 1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$.*
- *the dimension of the family $\mathcal{G}$ of rational normal curves in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is $n^2 + 2n - 3$;*
- *Let S_{n+2} be a set of $n + 2$ points in $\mathbb{P}^n$ in general linear position. Then the family $\mathcal{G}(S_{n+2})$ of rational normal curves containing S_{n+2} has dimension $(n - 1)$.*

Remark 2.6 Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a rational normal scroll of minimal degree in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and let $P_0 \in \mathbb{F}$. Consider the projection map away from P_0

$$\pi_{P_0} : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

Then $\overline{\pi_{P_0}(\mathbb{F})}$ is still a rational scroll of minimal degree in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Moreover if P_0 is smooth then $\dim(\overline{\pi_{P_0}(\mathbb{F})}) = \dim(\mathbb{F})$, whereas if P_0 is singular then $\dim(\overline{\pi_{P_0}(\mathbb{F})}) = \dim(\mathbb{F}) - 1$.

2.3 Binary curves

A binary curve is a stable curve C consisting of two irreducible and reduced rational curves Γ_1, Γ_2 intersecting transversally in $n + 2 \geq 3$ distinct points.

ω_C denotes the dualizing sheaf of C (see [19], Chap. III, §7), and K_C denotes a canonical divisor.

$p_a(C)$ is the arithmetic genus of C , $p_a(C) = 1 - \chi(\mathcal{O}_C) = n + 1$.

Definition 2.7 *The gonality of C , $\text{gon}(C)$, is the smallest number k such that there is a finite morphism $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ of degree k . C is hyperelliptic if $\text{gon}(C) = 2$.*

Assume C to be not hyperelliptic of arithmetic genus $p_a(C) \geq 3$. Then K_C is very ample on C by [6, Theorem 3.6] and $\varphi_{|K_C|}$ embeds C in $\mathbb{P}^n$ as the union of two rational normal curves intersecting in $n + 2$ distinct points. Moreover by [14] C satisfies Noether's Theorem, which means that the restriction maps

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(k)) \rightarrow H^0(C, \omega_C^{\otimes k})$$

are surjective for every $k \geq 0$.

Definition 2.8 *By a generic binary curve we mean a curve C such that $[C]$ is generic in $\text{Bin}_{p_a(C)}$, where $\text{Bin}_{p_a(C)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{p_a(C)}$ is the locus of binary curves in the moduli space for genus $p_a(C)$ stable curves.*

Remark 2.9 A generic binary curve of genus $p_a(C) \geq 3$ is not hyperelliptic. Indeed from an intrinsic point of view if a binary curve is hyperelliptic then there is an isomorphism between the two components fixing the $p_a(C) + 1$ points, which exists generically if and only if $p_a(C) \leq 2$. Extrinsically we may fix $p_a(C) + 1$ general points in $\mathbb{P}^{p_a(C)-1}$ and consider two generic rational normal curves Γ_1 and Γ_2 through those points. By adjunction we may see that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{p_a(C)-1}}(1)$ restricts to the canonical bundle of $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$, thus the curve $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ is canonically embedded and it can not be hyperelliptic.

Remark 2.10 Identifying C and the points $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \{P_0, \dots, P_{n+1}\}$ with their canonical embeddings in $\mathbb{P}^n$ then C is a generic binary curve if and only if Γ_1 and Γ_2 are generic rational normal curves passing through $\{P_0, \dots, P_{n+1}\}$.

Remark 2.11 Let $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ be a generic binary curve canonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and let $P_0 \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$.

Consider the projection map away from P_0

$$\pi_{P_0} : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

Then $C' = \overline{\pi_{P_0}(C)}$ is a generic binary curve of arithmetic genus $p_a(C') = p_a(C) - 1$ canonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Finally let us point out that it is easy to prove that the usual bound on the gonality of smooth curves holds for binary curves as well.

Lemma 2.12 *Let $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ be a binary curve of arithmetic genus $p_a \geq 3$. Then*

$$\text{gon}(C) \leq \lfloor \frac{p_a(C) + 3}{2} \rfloor$$

Proof. Let $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \{P_0, \dots, P_{n+1}\}$, where $n = p_a(C) - 1$. The Lemma follows if we show that there exists a morphism $\psi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ of degree $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} + 1 \rfloor$ which is the identity restricted to $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$. Indeed, the morphism $\varphi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ defined as ψ on Γ_1 and the identity on Γ_2 is well defined and has the required degree.

To show the existence of such ψ let us consider the normalization $\pi : \hat{C} \cong \Gamma_1 \sqcup \Gamma_2 \rightarrow C$ and let $\{R_i, S_i\} = \pi^*(P_i)$ where $R_i \in \Gamma_1$ and $S_i \in \Gamma_2$.

Assume at first that n is even.

Taking coordinates on $\Gamma_2 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ such a map should be given by a pair of polynomials of degree $\frac{n}{2} + 1$, $\psi = (q_1, q_2)$ and the conditions of being the identity on the intersection correspond to ask $\psi(R_i) = S_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n + 2$. Now, the vector space of couples (q_1, q_2) with the required degree has dimension $2 \cdot (\frac{n}{2} + 2)$, while every pair (R_i, S_i) imposes one single condition on such vector space. In particular there is a 2-dimensional vector space of (q_1, q_2) with the required properties, i.e., there exists a one dimensional family of such maps ψ .

Similarly, if n is odd we may find a map $\psi : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$ of degree $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} + 1 \rfloor$ which is the identity restricted to $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$. ■

2.4 Koszul cohomology

Let C be a Gorenstein curve, let $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}$ be invertible sheaves on C and $W \subseteq H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$ be a subspace which yields a base point free system of projective dimension r .

The Koszul groups $\mathcal{K}_{p,q}(C, W, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L})$ are defined as the cohomology at the middle of the complex

$$\bigwedge^{p+1} W \otimes H^0(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{q-1}) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^p W \otimes H^0(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{L}^q) \longrightarrow \bigwedge^{p-1} W \otimes H^0(\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{q+1})$$

If $W = H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$ they are usually denoted by $\mathcal{K}_{p,q}(C, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L})$, while if $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{O}_C$ the usual notation is $\mathcal{K}_{p,q}(C, \mathcal{L})$ (see [16] for the definition and main results).

If $\mathcal{L}$ is a very ample line bundle, the groups $\mathcal{K}_{p,q}(C, \mathcal{L})$ have a natural interpretations in terms of generators and relations of the ideal of the embedding (see [16, Theorem 1.b.4] for a precise statement). In particular $\mathcal{K}_{1,1}(C, \mathcal{L}) \cong I_2(C, \mathbb{P}^r)$, the space of quadrics in $\mathbb{P}^r$ vanishing on C , $\mathcal{K}_{1,2}(C, \mathcal{L})$ represents the space of cubics vanishing on C not generated by quadrics, whereas $\mathcal{K}_{2,1}(C, \mathcal{L})$ is the space of linear relations between the quadrics, etc.

One useful result for our analysis is the following duality between Koszul groups

Proposition 2.13 (cf. [12]) *Let C be a Gorenstein curve and let $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{H}$ be invertible sheaves on C . Assume $W \subseteq H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$ to be a subspace of $\dim = n + 1$ which yields a base point free system. Then*

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,q}(C, W, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{L}) \stackrel{\mathrm{d}}{=} \mathcal{K}_{n-p-1, 2-q}(C, W, K_C \otimes \mathcal{H}^{-1}, \mathcal{L})^\vee$$

In the case of rational normal curves the Koszul groups can be easily calculated using the Eagon-Northcott complex:

Proposition 2.14 *Let Γ be a rational normal curve and let $\mathcal{L}$ be a very ample divisor on Γ of degree n . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(\Gamma, \mathcal{L})) &= p \cdot \binom{n}{p+1} & \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq n-1 \\ \mathcal{K}_{p,q}(\Gamma, \mathcal{L}) &= 0 & \forall q \geq 2, \quad \forall p \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

(see [9, §6A], [16, Proof of Theorem 3.c.6]).

3 Scrolls containing binary curves

Extra syzygies of k -gonal curves are strictly related to the existence of scrolls containing such curves, so we investigate in detail the families of scrolls containing a binary curve.

3.1 Binary curves with nonvanishing Koszul groups

In this section we show a first relation between the Koszul groups of a curve, its gonality and the scrolls containing it (see Theorem 3.3 below).

Remark 3.1 If $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ is a canonically embedded binary curve endowed with a $k : 1$ map $\varphi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, then there exists a $(k - 1)$ -dimensional rational normal scroll $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of minimal degree containing C . Indeed, by [10, Theorem 2], the scheme $\bigcup_{t \in \mathbb{P}^1} \langle \varphi^*(t) \rangle$ is a rational normal scroll $\mathbb{F}$ of minimal degree. Moreover, by Riemann-Roch Theorem $\dim \langle \varphi^*(t) \rangle \leq k - 2$, thus $\dim \mathbb{F} \leq k - 1$.

The following theorem shows that the existence of higher degree linear syzygies is equivalent to the existence of lower dimensional rational normal scrolls containing the curve. Notice that it is no longer true for arbitrary schemes, see the following example.

Example 3.2 Let $\mathbb{G} = \text{Gr}(2, 4)$ the Grassmannian of $\mathbb{P}^2 \cong W \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. It is embedded as a 6-dimensional variety in $\mathbb{P}^9$ and has nontrivial linear syzygies (see [18, Example 5.2] for its Betti table). It is not difficult to check that there exists no rational normal scroll of codimension 2 and degree 3 in $\mathbb{P}^9$ containing $\mathbb{G}$. To see this, observe that $\mathbb{G}$ would be a divisor in the scroll and that a simple degree computation in coordinates implies the contradiction.

Theorem 3.3 *Let n be an even number and let $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ be a canonically embedded binary curve. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{n}{2}, 1}(C, K_C) \neq 0$;
2. *there exists a $(\frac{n}{2})$ -dimensional rational normal scroll $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ of minimal degree containing C ;*
3. $\text{gon}(C) < \left\lceil \frac{p_a(C)+3}{2} \right\rceil = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} + 1 \right\rfloor$.

Proof.

Remark 3.1 states precisely that (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2).

The implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is easy: if C is contained in a rational normal scroll $\mathbb{F}$ of dimension $\leq \frac{n}{2}$ then for every $p \leq \frac{n}{2}$ it is

$$0 \neq \mathcal{K}_{p,1}(\mathbb{F}) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C)$$

(see [9, Appendix A2H] for a detailed description of Koszul cohomology groups of rational normal scrolls).

We now show that (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3). We argue by contradiction.

By Lemma 2.12, we assume that the gonality is precisely $\frac{n}{2} + 2 = \left\lceil \frac{pa(C)+3}{2} \right\rceil$. In particular Remark 3.1 guarantees that there is a rational normal scroll $\mathbb{F}$ of dimension $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ and codimension $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ containing C . The fibres of $\varphi : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ have length $\frac{n}{2} + 2$ and they span $\frac{n}{2}$ -dimensional projective subspaces in $\mathbb{F}$.

Let us consider a general fibre S of $\frac{n}{2} + 2$ points in $\mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}$. Thanks to Lemma 2.12 we may assume that $\deg(S|_{\Gamma_2}) = 1$ and $\deg(S|_{\Gamma_1}) = \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Notice that the points of S are in linear general position since otherwise we would have a set S_0 of $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ points spanning a $(\frac{n}{2} - 1)$ -dimensional space (i.e. $h^0(C, S_0) = 2$ by Riemann-Roch theorem) and this would imply $\text{gon}(C) < \frac{n}{2} + 1$.

In particular we may apply [17, Theorem 1] and conclude that $\mathcal{K}_{p',2}(S, \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}) = 0$ for every $p' \leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$.

Considering S as a subscheme of $\mathbb{P}^n$, arguing as in [16, proof of Theorem 3.b.7] (see also [13, Remark 2.8]) we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,2}(S, \mathbb{P}^n) \cong \bigoplus_{0 \leq p' \leq p} [\mathcal{K}_{p',2}(S, \mathbb{P}^{\frac{n}{2}}) \otimes \bigwedge^{p-p'} U]$$

where U is a linear subspace such that $U \oplus \langle S \rangle^\vee = H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$.

Now consider $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$. Remember that by duality we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{\frac{n}{2}-1,2}(C, K_C) \cong \mathcal{K}_{\frac{n}{2},1}(C, K_C)^\vee$$

hence, the implication (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) follows if we show that

$$\mathcal{K}_{\frac{n}{2}-1,2}(C, K_C) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\frac{n}{2}-1,2}(S, K_C) = \mathcal{K}_{\frac{n}{2}-1,2}(S, \mathbb{P}^n).$$

With this aim note that there is an exact sequence of $\text{Sym}(H^0(C, K_C))$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(C, \mathcal{I}_S \otimes K_C^{\otimes q}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes q}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(S, K_C^{\otimes q})$$

since $H^1(C, \mathcal{I}_S \otimes K_C^{\otimes q}) \cong H^1(C, K_C^{\otimes q})$ for every $q \geq 1$. By [16, Corollary 1.4.d and Theorem 3.b.1] such a sequence induces the following exact sequence of Koszul groups

$$\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,2}(C, \mathcal{I}_S, K_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,2}(C, K_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,2}(S, K_C)$$

We are going to analyze $\mathcal{K}_{p,2}(C, \mathcal{I}_S, K_C)$ considering the splitting $C = \Gamma_1 + \Gamma_2$. By

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{S|_{\Gamma_1}} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_1}(-\Gamma_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{S|_{\Gamma_2}} \rightarrow 0$$

we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,2}(\Gamma_1, \mathcal{I}_{S|_{\Gamma_1}}(-\Gamma_2), K_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,2}(C, \mathcal{I}_S, K_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,2}(\Gamma_2, \mathcal{I}_{S|_{\Gamma_2}}, K_C) \quad (1)$$

Since Γ_1 and Γ_2 are rational curve by [16, Corollary 3.a.6] we obtain

- $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{n}{2}-1,2}(\Gamma_1, \mathcal{I}_{S|_{\Gamma_1}}(-\Gamma_2), K_C) = \mathcal{K}_{\frac{n}{2}-1,0}(\Gamma_1, \mathcal{I}_S \otimes K_{\Gamma_1} \otimes K_C, K_C) = 0$
since $\frac{n}{2} - 1 > \deg(\mathcal{I}_S \otimes K_{\Gamma_1} \otimes K_C)$ (see [16, formula 3.a.9]);
- $\mathcal{K}_{\frac{n}{2}-1,2}(\Gamma_2, \mathcal{I}_S, K_C) \cong \mathcal{K}_{\frac{n}{2}-1,0}(\Gamma_2, \mathcal{I}_{S|_{\Gamma_2}} K_C^2, K_C) = 0$
since $\deg(\mathcal{I}_{S|_{\Gamma_2}} K_C^2) = 2 \deg K_C - 1$ (see [16, formula 3.a.10]);

that is, $\mathcal{K}_{p,2}(C, \mathcal{I}_S, K_C) = 0$, which concludes the proof by equation (1). ■

3.2 Rational curves contained in scrolls

The aim of this section is to estimate the dimension of family of rational normal curves in $\mathbb{P}^n$ passing through $n+2$ fixed points and contained in a fixed rational normal scroll.

Our analysis is subdivided in several steps. We start with the following

Proposition 3.4 *Let $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ be a scroll of dimension d and let $\mathbb{F} =$ be its image in $\mathbb{P}^n$.*

Then the family of rational normal curves Γ in $\mathbb{F}$ such that the induced map $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has degree k , is irreducible and has dimension $(d-1)(n+3-k) + (k-1)(2d-n)$ if $k \leq d$ and $n - a_i k \geq 0$ for every i , otherwise it is empty.

Proof. Notice that there can not be a curve Γ with $k > d$ since the general fibre of $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ consists of k points in general linear position, contained in a fibre of $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$, which is a $(d-1)$ -dimensional projective subspace of $\mathbb{P}^n$. Therefore from now on we may assume that $k \leq d$.

We have seen in Remark 2.3 that a rational normal curve $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{F}$ is determined by its coordinates

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : \quad \Gamma &\rightarrow \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \\ (s_0, s_1) &\mapsto (y_1(s_0, s_1), \dots, y_d(s_0, s_1)) \times (t_0(s_0, s_1), t_1(s_0, s_1)) \end{aligned}$$

Such polynomials can not exist if $\deg y_i = n - ka_i < 0$ for some i , hence in this case there are no rational curves with the required properties. On the contrary if $\deg y_i = n - ka_i \geq 0$ for every i then the family is nonempty.

It is clear that the coordinates $\{y_1, \dots, y_d, t_0, t_1\}$ are unique up to the action of $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ and of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^2$ on $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$. In particular, a generic set of polynomials $\{y_1, \dots, y_d, t_0, t_1\}$ of the prescribed degree defines a rational normal curve, hence the dimension of the set of rational normal curves with the required properties is

$$\begin{aligned} \dim &= \sum_{i=1}^d h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(n - ka_i)) + 2h^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k)) - 3 - 2 \\ &= (d-1)(n+3-k) + (k-1)(2d-n) \end{aligned}$$

This family is clearly irreducible, since it is dominated by an open set of a vector space. ■

Lemma 3.5 *Let S_{n+2} be a set of $n+2$ points in $\mathbb{P}^n$ in general linear position. Let $\mathcal{F}(S_{n+2})_{\underline{a}}$ be the family of rational normal scrolls containing S_{n+2} with multidegree $\underline{a}$. Then*

$$\dim \mathcal{F}(S_{n+2})_{\underline{a}} = (n+2) \cdot d - (d^2 + 2) - (\dim \text{Aut}(\underline{a}) - d^2)$$

Proof. The proof of Proposition A.1 shows that $\mathcal{F}(S_{n+2})_{\underline{a}}$ is isomorphic to the family $U / \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d))$, where U is the open subscheme of $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2}$ given by $n+2$ points imposing independent conditions on $|M|$. Therefore

$$\dim \mathcal{F}(S_{n+2})_{\underline{a}} = \dim \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2} - \dim \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d))$$

and we may conclude thanks to the computation made in the proof of Proposition A.1, especially Equation (4). ■

Now we analyze the simplest cases of rational normal scrolls, i.e., quadrics of rank 3 or 4.

Lemma 3.6 *Let $Q = \{q(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$ be a quadric of rank 3 or 4 in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let $S_{n+2} \subset Q$ be a set of $n+2$ points in general linear position in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and assume that*

$$S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(Q) = \emptyset.$$

Then there exists at most a finite number of rational normal curves Γ such that $S_{n+2} \subset \Gamma \subset Q$.

Proof. Assume that S_{n+2} is the set of coordinate points $(0 : \dots : 0 : 1 : 0 : \dots, 0)$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and the point $(1 : \dots : 1)$.

It is well known that, up to $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$, every rational normal curve Γ through S_{n+2} is given by the map

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi = \varphi_\Gamma : \quad \mathbb{P}^1 &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n \\ (s_0, s_1) &\mapsto \left(\frac{1}{s_0} : \frac{1}{s_0 - s_1} : \frac{1}{s_0 - a_2 s_1} : \dots : \frac{1}{s_0 - a_n s_1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and that the numbers a_i determine uniquely the curve. Since $S_{n+2} \subset Q$ we have that

$$q(\varphi_\Gamma(s_0, s_1)) = s_0 s_1 (s_0 - s_1) \prod_{i=2}^n (s_0 - a_i s_1) \cdot p(s_0, s_1) \quad (2)$$

where $p(s_0, s_1)$ is a degree $n-2$ polynomial in (s_0, s_1) with coefficients depending on the parameters a_i .

Consider a fixed generic choice of $(\overline{a}_2, \dots, \overline{a}_n)$ of parameters and let Γ be the associated generic rational normal curve through S_{n+2} given by $\overline{\varphi} : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$: the points $0, 1, \infty, \overline{a}_i \in \mathbb{P}^1$ are the preimages of the points in S_{n+2} .

A simple Bertini type argument shows that the polynomial $q(\overline{\varphi}(s_0, s_1))$ has $2n$ distinct zeroes. Therefore we can write

$$q(\overline{\varphi}(s_0, s_1)) = s_0 s_1 (s_0 - s_1) \prod_{i=2}^n (s_0 - \overline{a}_i s_1) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{n-2} (s_0 - b_j s_1)$$

and the numbers $0, 1, \infty, \overline{a}_i, b_j$ are all distinct.

Notice that the assumption $S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(Q) = \emptyset$ is necessary. Indeed for every Γ the polynomial $q(\varphi_\Gamma(s_0, s_1))$ must vanish with order ≥ 2 at the

preimage of every point in $S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(Q)$.

The rational normal curves we are looking for correspond to parameters $(a_2, \dots, a_n)$ such that $p(s_0, s_1) \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{P}^1$, where p is defined in equation (2) and it depends on $(a_2, \dots, a_n)$.

Consider now $P_1, \dots, P_{n-1}$ generic points in $\mathbb{P}^1$. Consider the family of rational normal curves Γ through S_{n+2} such that $\varphi_\Gamma(P_1), \dots, \varphi_\Gamma(P_j) \in Q \setminus S_{n+2}$. Define X_j as the closure of this family in the family X_0 of all rational normal curves through S_{n+2} .

It is clear that X_j corresponds to the family of parameters $\{a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ such that

$$p(P_1) = \dots = p(P_j) = 0.$$

Notice that X_{n-1} is the family of rational normal curves contained in Q since $\deg(p) = n - 2$.

The statement is proved if we show that

$$\dim X_{j+1} = \dim X_j - 1$$

and in particular

$$\dim X_{n-1} = 0$$

since $\dim X_0 = n - 1$ (by Lemma A.3).

Consider the incidence correspondence

$$I = \{(\Gamma, x) : \varphi_\Gamma(x) \in Q \setminus S_{n+2}\} \subset X_j \times \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{P_1, \dots, P_j\}$$

The map $I \rightarrow X_j$ is generically finite thanks to the existence of generic map $\overline{\varphi}$ we discuss above, thus $\dim I = \dim X_j$. Moreover the map $I \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{P_1, \dots, P_j\}$ is nonconstant by genericity of $\overline{\varphi}$, thus the generic fibre has codimension 1. Since the generic fibre over P_{j+1} is X_{j+1} we conclude. ■

Lemma 3.7 *Let $Q = \{q(x_0, \dots, x_n) = 0\}$ be a quadric of rank 3 or 4 in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let $S_{n+2} \subset Q$ be a set of $n + 2$ points in general linear position in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then the family of rational normal curves Γ such that $S_{n+2} \subset \Gamma \subset Q$ has dimension at most $\#(S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(Q))$.*

Proof. It is a simple induction argument on $\#(S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(Q))$. If $\#(S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(Q)) = 0$ we are back to the previous Lemma. Assume that $\#(S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(Q)) \neq 0$.

Consider one point $P \in S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(Q)$ and project away from it, $\pi_P : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. The degree 2 polynomial q does not change via the

projection since it is singular at P . Then the family of rational normal curves Γ such that $S_{n+2} \subset \Gamma \subset Q$ is obviously given by all the possible liftings through P of the rational normal curves $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ such that $\pi_P(S_{n+2} \setminus P) \subset \Gamma \subset (q = 0)$. Since every curve in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ has a one dimensional family of liftings, we conclude by induction. ■

We are going to give an estimate of dimension of the family of rational normal curves passing through $n + 2$ general points and contained in a fixed rational normal scroll.

Proposition 3.8 *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a rational normal scroll of dimension d and minimal degree in $\mathbb{P}^n$, with $n \geq d + 1$. Let $S_{n+2} \subset \mathbb{F}$ a set of $n + 2$ points in general linear position in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then the family of rational normal curves Γ such that $S_{n+2} \subset \Gamma \subset \mathbb{F}$ has dimension at most $\#(S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(\mathbb{F}))$.*

Proof. We argue by induction on $n - d$. If $n - d = 1$ then $\mathbb{F}$ is a quadric of rank 3 or 4, thus we apply the previous Lemma.

Assume that $n - d > 1$.

If $S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(\mathbb{F}) \neq \emptyset$ we use the same projection argument adopted in the previous Lemma, and we conclude by induction.

Thus we may assume that $S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(\mathbb{F}) = \emptyset$.

Take $P \in S_{n+2}$ and consider the projection $\pi_P : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

By Remark 2.6 the closure of $\pi_P(\mathbb{F})$ is a rational normal scroll $\mathbb{F}_0$ of dimension d and minimal degree in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

Every rational normal curve Γ in $\mathbb{F}$ through S_{n+2} projects to a rational normal curve Γ_0 in $\mathbb{F}_0$ passing through the image of the remaining $n + 1$ points in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. Moreover it is easy to check that none of these $n + 1$ points is in the singular locus of $\mathbb{F}_0$, thus by induction we may assume that there is at most a finite number of rational normal curves through them.

A rational normal curve Γ_0 in the scroll $\mathbb{F}_0 \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ has precisely one lifting in $\mathbb{F}$ by birationality, thus it has at most one lifting which passes through P . Therefore, by induction, we may conclude. ■

Lemma 3.9 *Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ be a rational normal scroll, $\pi : \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the usual projection.*

Assume $a_i \geq 0$ for every i and let $L = \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1))$ and M a relative hyperplane (see §2.1).

Let S_{n+2} be a set of $n + 2$ distinct points imposing independent conditions on the linear system $|M|$. Then $\pi|_{S_{n+2}} : S_{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is injective if and only if there exists a smooth rational curve Γ such that

1. $S_{n+2} \subset \Gamma \subset \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$;
2. $\Gamma \cdot L = 1$;
3. $\Gamma \cdot M = n$.

Moreover if such a Γ exists it is unique.

Proof. We use the notations and conventions adopted in subsections 2.1 and 2.2.

Assume there exists a rational normal curve Γ satisfying the conditions 1, 2, 3. Since $\Gamma \cdot L = 1$ we have that π is an isomorphism on Γ , thus it must be injective on $S_{n+2} \subset \Gamma$ too.

Assume now that $\pi|_{S_{n+2}}$ is injective. We are going to prove that the intersection of every divisor in $|L + M|$ containing S_{n+2} is indeed a smooth rational curve Γ satisfying 1, 2, 3.

We will prove it by induction on $d = \dim \mathbb{F}$.

If $d = 1$ the statement is trivial.

Assume now $d \geq 2$. The restriction map $H^0(\mathbb{F}, L + M) \rightarrow H^0(S_{n+2}, \mathcal{O}_{S_{n+2}})$ has nontrivial kernel, since $h^0(\mathbb{F}, L + M) = n + d + 1 > h^0(S_{n+2}, \mathcal{O}_{S_{n+2}}) = n + 2$. Thus there exists a divisor $D \in |L + M|$ containing S_{n+2} . D is a $(d - 1)$ -dimensional scheme and it is integral. Indeed, if not there is a decomposition $D = D_1 + D_2$, with $D_1 \in |L|$ and $D_2 \in |M|$. But we know that at most n points in S_{n+2} can be contained in the divisor D_2 since S_{n+2} imposes independent conditions on $|M|$, and at most one point in S_{n+2} can be contained in the divisor D_1 since π is injective on S_{n+2} .

Thus by construction D is a projective bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$, hence it is a rational normal scroll of dimension $d - 1$ containing S_{n+2} , whose projection $\pi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is the restriction of the projection of $\mathbb{F}$.

Notice that $M|_D^{d-1} = D \cdot M^{d-1} = (L + M) \cdot M^{d-1} = n - d + 2$. Thus we may apply the induction argument to D , endowed with the line bundles $L|_D, M|_D$. By induction we know that the intersection of every divisor in $H^0(D, L|_D + M|_D)$ containing S_{n+2} is a smooth rational curve Γ , and the intersection of every divisor in $H^0(\mathbb{F}, L + M)$ containing S_{n+2} must coincide with it.

To conclude the statement we need to prove that such smooth rational curve, if it exists, is unique. Assume that $\pi|_{S_{n+2}}$ is injective. If Γ_0 is any smooth rational curve satisfying the conditions 1, 2, 3 we have that the restriction map $H^0(\Gamma_0, L + M) = H^0(\Gamma_0, \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_0}(1 + n)) \rightarrow H^0(S_{n+2}, \mathcal{O}_{S_{n+2}})$ is

an isomorphism, since $\Gamma_0 \cong \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus $H^0(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_0}(L+M)) = H^0(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{I}_{S_{n+2}}(L+M))$, hence Γ_0 is contained in the intersection of the sections of $H^0(\mathbb{F}, L+M)$ vanishing on S_{n+2} . But we have just proved that this intersection is precisely the smooth rational curve Γ , thus $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma$. ■

Proposition 3.10 *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a rational normal scroll of dimension d in $\mathbb{P}^n$, with $n \geq 2d$. Let $S_{n+2} \subset \mathbb{F}$ be a set of $n+2$ points in general linear position. Then the family of rational normal curves Γ such that $S_{n+2} \subset \Gamma \subset \mathbb{F}$ and the induced projection $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has degree k , with $1 \leq k \leq d$, is either empty, or it has positive dimension, or it consists of one single curve.*

Proof. If $k = 1$ we apply Lemma 3.9. Consider the map $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$. If there exists a rational normal curve Γ with the required properties, then S_{n+2} and Γ can be lifted to a set of $n+2$ points and a smooth rational curve in $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ as described in Lemma 3.9. In particular, it is clear that the family of suitable Γ coincides with the family of liftings of S_{n+2} in $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$. If $S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(\mathbb{F}) = \emptyset$ the lifting is unique and there exists at most one rational normal curve. Otherwise there is a positive dimensional family of liftings.

Assume now $k \geq 2$. We work by induction on $n - 2d$. Assume at first that $n - 2d = 0$.

Consider the incidence correspondence

$$I_k = \{(\Gamma, P_1, \dots, P_{n+2}) : P_i \in \Gamma\} \subset \{\text{r.n.c. with deg } k \text{ on } \mathbb{P}^1\} \times \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2}.$$

Proposition 3.4 implies that $\dim I_k = (d-1)(n+3-k) + (n+2)$ since the projection $I_k \rightarrow \{\text{r.n.c. with deg } k \text{ on } \mathbb{P}^1\}$ is surjective with $(n+2)$ -dimensional fibres.

Consider now the map $I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2}$. It is generically injective, i.e., there exists $S_{n+2} \in \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2}$ having precisely one preimage in I_k . Indeed, by the polynomial description of the curves we made in Proposition 3.4, and a dimensional computation, a point in $\mathbb{F}$ imposes $d-1$ independent conditions on the family of the curves with degree k map to $\mathbb{P}^1$. Similarly, $n+3-k$ generic points in $\mathbb{F}$ impose precisely $(d-1)(n+3-k)$ conditions on such family, thus by Proposition 3.4 there exists a finite number of suitable rational normal curves through those points. By fixing one of them and taking other $k-1$ points on it we obtain the length $n+2$ scheme S_{n+2} we were looking for.

The map $I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2}$, is a quasi-projective morphism since $I_k \subset \mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ is quasi-projective. Since there is a point in $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2}$ with precisely one preimage, by a Stein factorization argument (see [19, Corollary III.11.5]) we see that every fibre is connected. In particular every set of $n+2$ points with zero dimensional fibre (in particular those points avoiding the singular locus of $\mathbb{F}$ by Proposition 3.8) must have precisely one preimage, proving our statement.

Assume now that $n > 2d$. Fix $S_{n+2} \subset \mathbb{F}$ and assume that there is a finite number of rational normal curve Γ such that $S_{n+2} \subset \Gamma \subset \mathbb{F}$ and the induced projection $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has degree k . With the usual projection argument used in Proposition 3.8 we may assume that $S_{n+2} \cap \text{Sing}(\mathbb{F}) = \emptyset$.

Consider a point $P \in S_{n+2}$ and the projection π_P onto $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$.

By Proposition 3.8 and induction there is exactly one rational normal curve Γ_0 in $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ such that $\pi(S_{n+2} \setminus \{P\}) \subset \Gamma_0 \subset \pi_P(\mathbb{F})$.

But a rational normal curve in the scroll $\pi_P(\mathbb{F}) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ has one lifting in $\mathbb{F}$ by birationality, thus it has at most one lifting which passes through P , and we may conclude by induction. $\blacksquare$

Proposition 3.11 *Assume that n is an even number and let $d = n/2$.*

Let S_{n+2} be a set of $n+2$ points in $\mathbb{P}^n$ in linear general position. Let $\mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}}$ be the subfamily of $\mathcal{F}(S_{n+2})_{\underline{a}}$ consisting of scrolls $\mathbb{F}$ with the property that there exists a rational normal curve Γ such that $S_{n+2} \subset \Gamma \subset \mathbb{F}$ and the induced map $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has degree k . Then

- *if $n - ka_i \geq 0$ for every i we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}} &= \frac{n^2}{4} + n - 2 - (k-1)\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) - (\dim \text{Aut}(\underline{a}) - \frac{n^2}{4}) \\ &= \dim \mathcal{F}(S_{n+2})_{\underline{a}} - (k-1)\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) \end{aligned}$$

- *otherwise $\mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}}$ is empty.*

Proof. If $n - ka_i < 0$ for some i Proposition 3.4 tells us that there are no rational normal curves with the required degree on $\mathbb{P}^1$, thus $\mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}}$ is empty. We may therefore assume that this is not the case.

In Proposition 3.5 we have seen that $\mathcal{F}(S_{n+2})_{\underline{a}}$ is isomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2} / \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d))$. According to this isomorphism the family we are looking for, $\mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}}$, coincides with the set of $n+2$

points in $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ contained in some rational normal curve Γ , such that $\deg(\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1) = k$ (up to $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d))$). We have seen how these curve are characterized in Proposition 3.4.

Consider the incidence correspondence

$$I_k = \{(\Gamma, P_1, \dots, P_{n+2}) : P_i \in \Gamma\} \subset \{\text{r.n.c. in } \mathbb{F}(\underline{a}) \text{ with deg } k \text{ on } \mathbb{P}^1\} \times \mathbb{F}(\underline{a})^{n+2}.$$

Thanks to Proposition 3.4 we know that $\dim I_k = (d-1)(n+3-k) + n+2$. Consider the projection $\varphi : I_k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2}$. Since the space $\mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}}$ is $\varphi(I_k) / \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d))$, its dimension is at most $\dim I_k - \dim \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d))$:

$$\dim \mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}} \leq \frac{n^2}{4} + n - 2 - (k-1)\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) - (\dim \text{Aut}(\underline{a}) - \frac{n^2}{4}).$$

To prove the opposite inequality we argue as in the proof of Lemma 3.10. Consider the characterization of rational normal curves as a set of polynomials of prescribed degree, up to automorphism, given in Proposition 3.4. A simple linear algebra computation shows that passing through a fixed point imposes at most $d-1 = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ conditions on those polynomials, thus for $n+3-k$ generic points in $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ there exists at least one rational normal curve with the right degree. Fixing $n+3-k$ generic points, we can moreover choose the remaining $k-1$ points on this curve. Thus we find a space of dimension $d \cdot (n+3-k) + (k-1)$ in $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2}$ which is in the image of the incidence correspondence $I \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2}$. Therefore $\mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}}$ has dimension at least $d \cdot (n+3-k) + (k-1) - \dim \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d))$, or equivalently

$$\dim \mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}} \geq \frac{n^2}{4} + n - 2 - (k-1)\left(\frac{n}{2} - 1\right) - (\dim \text{Aut}(\underline{a}) - \frac{n^2}{4}).$$

■

Proposition 3.12 *Let S_{n+2} , $\mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}}$ and $\mathcal{F}(h)_{\underline{a}}$ be as in Proposition 3.11. Assume that $k \neq h$ and $k+h \geq \frac{n}{2} + 1$. Then*

$$\dim(\mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}} \cap \mathcal{F}(h)_{\underline{a}}) \leq 2n - 3.$$

Proof. By proposition 3.11 $\mathcal{F}(S_{n+2})_{\underline{a}}$ isomorphic to an open subset of $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2} / \text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d))$ and $\mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}}$, respectively $\mathcal{F}(h)_{\underline{a}}$, is the image of the incidence correspondence I_k modulo $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d))$.

But in local coordinates, both I_k and I_h , are two vector spaces (modulo actions of $\mathbb{C}^*$) whose images in $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)^{n+2}$ are transverse, thus their intersection has the minimal dimension allowed.

In particular, since $\dim \mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}} + \dim \mathcal{F}(h)_{\underline{a}} \geq \mathcal{F}(S_{n+2})_{\underline{a}}$ by Proposition 3.11, we may conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}} \cap \mathcal{F}(h)_{\underline{a}}) &= \dim \mathcal{F}(k)_{\underline{a}} + \dim \mathcal{F}(h)_{\underline{a}} - \mathcal{F}(S_{n+2})_{\underline{a}} \\ &= 2n - 3 - (\dim \text{Aut}(\underline{a}) - \frac{n^2}{4}) \end{aligned}$$

But it is shown in Equation (4) of Proposition A.1 that $\dim \text{Aut}(\underline{a}) - \frac{n^2}{4} \geq 0$, thus we may conclude the proof. $\blacksquare$

3.3 Generic binary curves and scrolls

Finally we show that a generic binary curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ does not lie on a rational normal scroll of dimension smaller than or equal to $\frac{n}{2}$.

Theorem 3.13 *Let $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ be a generic binary curve in $\mathbb{P}^n$.*

Then there is no rational normal scroll of minimal degree and of dimension $\leq \frac{n}{2}$ containing C .

Proof. We argue by induction on n . If $n = 3$ the result is trivial.

Assume now that n is odd and let us assume by contradiction that $C \subset \mathbb{F}$ with $\dim \mathbb{F} \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$. Take $P_0 \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ and consider the projection

$$\pi_{P_0} : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

If C is a *generic* binary curve its projection $C' = \pi_{P_0}(C)$ is contained in $\pi_{P_0}(\mathbb{F})$, which is a rational normal scroll of dimension at most $\dim \mathbb{F}$. This is impossible by induction and Remark 2.11.

Assume now that n is even. Thanks to the same induction argument we may assume that a generic binary curve is not contained in a rational normal scroll of dimension strictly smaller than $\frac{n}{2}$.

So let $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ be a generic binary curve and assume by contradiction that there exists a scroll $\mathbb{F}$ of degree $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ and dimension $\frac{n}{2}$ containing C .

Write $\mathbb{F} \cong \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, $d = \frac{n}{2}$, and let L, M be the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$, respectively $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1)$ (see §2.1). It is clear that $|L|$ induces two maps

$$\Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{h:1} \mathbb{P}^1 \qquad \Gamma_2 \xrightarrow{k:1} \mathbb{P}^1.$$

Note that $h \leq \frac{n}{2}$ and $k \leq \frac{n}{2}$ since $\dim(\mathbb{F}) = \frac{n}{2}$.

We claim that $h+k \geq \frac{n+2}{2}$. Indeed, since $\mathcal{O}_C(M) \cong K_C$ and $\deg \mathcal{O}_C(L) = h+k$, if $h+k < \frac{n+2}{2}$ then by [23, Chapter 2] and Riemann-Roch for C we have

$$h^0(\mathbb{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}}(L+M)) = \frac{n+2}{2} + n > h^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(L+M)) = h+k+n$$

i.e., there exists $\mathbb{G} \in |L+M|$ containing C . Such a $\mathbb{G}$ is linearly equivalent to a rational normal scroll and it is irreducible since otherwise every proper component should be degenerate because of the minimality of $\deg(\mathbb{G})$, contradicting C non-degenerate. Therefore if $h+k < \frac{n+2}{2}$ then there exists a scroll $\mathbb{G}$ of dimension $\leq \frac{n}{2} - 1$ containing C , against our induction argument.

To conclude the proof let

- $\mathcal{C}$ be the family of binary curves passing through $n+2$ fixed points in general position $\{P_0, \dots, P_{n+1}\}$;
- $\mathcal{L}_{h,k}$ be the family of scrolls of dimension $\frac{n}{2}$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ containing a binary curve in $\mathcal{C}$ with induced maps onto $\mathbb{P}^1$ of degree h , resp. k .

Consider the incidence correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I = \{(\mathbb{F}, C) \in \mathcal{L}_{h,k} \times \mathcal{C} : \mathbb{F} \supset C\} & \xrightarrow{p_2} & \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow p_1 & & \\ \mathcal{L}_{h,k} & & \end{array}$$

Our contradiction argument is equivalent to the surjectivity of p_2 for some fixed pair (h, k) .

We consider at first the case $h \neq k$.

Recall that $\dim \mathcal{C} = 2n - 2$ by Lemma A.3 and $\dim \mathcal{L}_{h,k} \leq 2n - 3$ by Proposition 3.12.

Therefore if p_2 is onto then $\dim I \geq \dim \mathcal{C} = 2n - 2$ and moreover the generic fibre of the map p_1 must have positive dimension.

In particular thanks to Proposition 3.8 we may conclude that for every binary curve $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a scroll $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{L}_{h,k}$ such that one of the points in $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ is contained in $\text{Sing}(\mathbb{F})$. Since the $n+2$ points are fixed (and finite), we may assume that there exists one of them, say P_0 , such that for every binary curve $C \in \mathcal{C}$ there exists a scroll $\mathbb{F} \in \mathcal{L}_{h,k}$ such that $P_0 \in \text{Sing}(\mathbb{F})$.

Consider a pair $(C, \mathbb{F})$ as above and take a general projection from P_0

$$\pi_{P_0} : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$$

C is sent birationally onto a generic binary curve C' contained in $\overline{\pi_{P_0}(\mathbb{F})}$. But $\pi_{P_0}(\mathbb{F})$ is a rational normal scroll of dimension $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ since $\mathbb{F}$ is singular at P_0 , and this is impossible by induction.

Assume now $h = k$.

By Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 3.10, arguing as above, we may assume that every scroll in $p_1(I) \subset \mathcal{L}_{h,h}$ is singular in P_0 . Hence every binary curve $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is contained in one rational normal scroll singular in P_0 , which concludes the proof of our contradiction argument by the following Lemma 3.14. $\blacksquare$

Lemma 3.14 *Let $\{P_0, \dots, P_{n+1}\}$ be a set of $n+2$ points in linear general position in $\mathbb{P}^n$ and let $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ be a generic binary curve such that $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \{P_0, \dots, P_{n+1}\}$.*

Then there is no $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ rational normal scroll of dimension $d \leq \frac{n}{2}$ containing C such that the induced maps $\Gamma_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, $\Gamma_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ have the same degree h .

Proof. We argue by contradiction. By Proposition 3.8 and Proposition 3.10 we may assume that there exists a point in $\{P_0, \dots, P_{n+1}\}$, say P_0 , such that for every binary curve singular at $\{P_0, \dots, P_{n+1}\}$ there exists a rational normal scroll $\mathbb{F}$ of dimension d such that $P_0 \in \text{Sing}(\mathbb{F})$ and $C \subset \mathbb{F}$.

We argue by induction on d .

Consider the projection away from P_0 :

$$\pi_{P_0} : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

By Remark 2.11 $C' = \pi_{P_0}(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ is a generic binary curve and $\pi_{P_0}(\mathbb{F})$ is a scroll of dimension $d-1$ by Remark 2.6 since $\mathbb{F}$ is singular at P_0 .

If $d = 2$ this is impossible: the $(d-1)$ -dimensional rational normal scroll is a rational normal curve, thus it can not contain the binary curve C' .

If $d > 2$ then $\dim(\overline{\pi_{P_0}(\mathbb{F})}) = d-1 \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$. But one can easily check that the two components of the binary curve C' has still degree h con $\mathbb{P}^1$ via the projection $\overline{\pi_{P_0}(\mathbb{F})} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Thus by induction we may conclude that the scroll $\pi_{P_0}(\mathbb{F})$ can not exists, thus finding a contradiction and proving the statement. $\blacksquare$

4 Green's conjecture for generic binary curves

Let $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ be a non hyperelliptic binary curve of arithmetic genus $p_a(C) \geq 3$. Then K_C is very ample on C by [6, Theorem 3.6] and $\varphi_{|K_C|}$ embeds C in $\mathbb{P}^n$ as the union of two rational normal curves intersecting in $n + 2$ distinct points. Moreover by [14] C satisfies Noether's Theorem, i.e. $\mathcal{K}_{0,p}(C, K_C) = 0 \ \forall p \geq 0$.

The decomposition $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ allows us to have an estimate of $\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C)$ for $p \leq \frac{n}{2}$.

Remark 4.1 By the standard “devissage” argument (see [23, Lemma 3.10]) we have the following exact sequence (see §4)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_1}(K_C^{\otimes q}(-\Gamma_2)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(K_C^{\otimes q}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_2}(K_C^{\otimes q}) \rightarrow 0$$

(where we use the notation $\mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_1}(-\Gamma_2)$ for $\mathcal{I}_{\Gamma_2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_1}$).

The above exact sequence is exact on global sections for every $q \geq 0$ since $H^0(C, K_C) \cong H^0(\Gamma_i, K_C) \cong H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(1))$ (for $i = 1, 2$), and $H^1(\Gamma_1, K_C^{\otimes q}(-\Gamma_2)) \cong H^1(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}((q-1)n-2)) = 0$ for every $q \geq 2$.

Proposition 4.2 *Let $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ be a non hyperelliptic binary curve of arithmetic genus $p_a(C) = n + 1 \geq 3$. Then for every p there is the following exact sequence of Koszul groups*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,1}(\Gamma_2, K_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{n-p,1}(\Gamma_1, K_C)^\vee \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p-1,2}(C, K_C) \rightarrow 0 \quad (3)$$

Proof. By Remark 4.1 we can construct the following exact sequence of $\text{Sym}(H^0(C, K_C))$ -modules:

$$0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(\Gamma_1, K_C^{\otimes q}(-\Gamma_2)) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(C, K_C^{\otimes q}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} H^0(\Gamma_2, K_C^{\otimes q}) \rightarrow 0$$

where the maps preserve the grading.

By [16, Corollary 1.4.d and Theorem 3.b.1] we get the following exact sequence of Koszul groups

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,1}(\Gamma_1, \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_1}(-\Gamma_2), K_C) &\rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p,1}(\Gamma_2, K_C) \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p-1,2}(\Gamma_1, \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_1}(-\Gamma_2), K_C) &\rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p-1,2}(C, K_C) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p-1,2}(\Gamma_2, K_C) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

But Γ_1 and Γ_2 are rational curves, thus we can conclude by Proposition 2.14, by [16, eq. (2.a.17)] and duality (Proposition 2.13) since then we have

- $\mathcal{K}_{p-1,2}(\Gamma_2, K_C) = 0$;
- $\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(\Gamma_1, \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_1}(-\Gamma_2), K_C) \cong \mathcal{K}_{p,0}(\Gamma_1, K_{\Gamma_1}, K_C) \cong \mathcal{K}_{n-p,2}(\Gamma_1, K_C)^\vee = 0$
- $\mathcal{K}_{p-1,2}(\Gamma_1, \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_1}(-\Gamma_2), K_C) \cong \mathcal{K}_{p-1,1}(\Gamma_1, K_{\Gamma_1}, K_C) \cong \mathcal{K}_{n-p,1}(\Gamma_1, K_C)^\vee$

■

Now we are able to prove our main theorem.

Proof of theorem 1.1.

Let $C = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ be a *generic* binary curve of arithmetic genus $p_a(C) = n + 1 \geq 3$ and let K_C be a canonical divisor on C . Assume $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \{P_0, \dots, P_{n+1}\}$.

We prove at first that $\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) = 0$ implies $p \geq \frac{n}{2} = \frac{p_a(C)-1}{2}$.

We consider the exact sequence (3) of Proposition 4.2.

By Proposition 2.14 we can compute the dimensions of the Koszul groups involved:

$$\dim(\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(\Gamma_2, K_C)) = p \cdot \binom{n}{p+1},$$

$$\dim(\mathcal{K}_{p-1,2}(\Gamma_1, \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma_1}(-\Gamma_2), K_C)) = \dim(\mathcal{K}_{n-p,1}(\Gamma_1, K_C)) = (n-p) \cdot \binom{n}{n-p+1}.$$

Therefore if $\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) = 0$ then necessarily

$$\dim(\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(\Gamma_2, K_C)) \leq \dim(\mathcal{K}_{n-p,1}(\Gamma_1, K_C))$$

i.e.,

$$p \cdot \binom{n}{p+1} \leq (n-p) \cdot \binom{n}{n-p+1}$$

which holds if and only if $p \geq \frac{n}{2} = \frac{p_a(C)-1}{2}$.

Now we prove by induction on n that a generic binary curve of arithmetic genus $p_a(C) = n+1 \geq 3$ satisfies $\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) = 0$ for every $p \geq \frac{n}{2} = \frac{p_a(C)-1}{2}$.

If $n = 2$ a generic curve is not hyperelliptic by Remark 2.9, then $\varphi_{K_C} : C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ embeds C as a degree 4 hypersurface. Hence there are no quadrics containing C , thus $\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) = 0$ for every $p \geq \frac{n}{2} = 1$.

For the general case take $P_0 \in \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ and consider the projection

$$\pi_{P_0} : \mathbb{P}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n-1}.$$

By Remark 2.11 if C is a *generic* binary curve its projection $C' = \pi_{P_0}(C)$ is still a generic binary curve of genus $p_a(C') = p_a(C) - 1 = n$ and moreover by [1, §3] it is

$$\mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{K}_{p-1,1}(C', K_{C'}).$$

Therefore if $p-1 \geq \lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \rceil$ by induction we may assume $\mathcal{K}_{p-1,1}(C', K_{C'}) = 0$ and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) &= 0 & \text{for } p \geq \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil & \text{ if } n \text{ is odd} \\ \mathcal{K}_{p,1}(C, K_C) &= 0 & \text{for } p > \frac{n}{2} & \text{ if } n \text{ is even} \end{aligned}$$

We are left only with the case n even and $p = \frac{n}{2}$. It follows from Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.13 since otherwise there would exist a rational normal scroll containing C .

Q.E.D.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. It is a simple consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 3.3. ■

Proof of Corollary 1.3.

It is well known that the vanishing of Koszul cohomology is an open property and moreover if one curve in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{p_a(C)}$ satisfies the Generic Green's conjecture then a generic curve does the same, see [20], [24] and [25].

For the sake of completeness, we recall that Hirschowitz and Ramanan in [20] proved that, if the Generic Green's conjecture is true, then

$$\mathcal{K}_{\lceil \frac{p_a(C)-1}{2} \rceil, 1}(C, K_C) = 0 \iff \text{gon}(C) \text{ is maximal.}$$

■

A Appendix: Families of scrolls in $\mathbb{P}^n$

In this Appendix we prove Theorem 2.5. We believe that most of these results were known since the 19th century, but we were not able to find an adequate reference.

Proposition A.1 *The dimension of the family $\mathcal{F}$ of rational normal scrolls of dimension d and degree $n-d+1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$ is $n^2+2n-2-d^2$, the dimension of the family $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{a}}$ is $n^2+2n-2-d^2-(\dim \text{Aut}(\underline{a})-d^2)$, while the dimension of the scheme $\mathcal{G}$ of rational normal curves has dimension n^2+2n-3 .*

Proof. See [21, Example 3.8] or [5, Proposition 2] for the case of surface scrolls. We write here a proof for every dimension based on [5, Proposition 2], which works only for $d = 2$.

Fix a suitable multindex $\underline{a}$ and assume at first that $d \neq 2$ or $d = 2$ and $a_1 \neq a_2$. The points of $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{a}}$ parametrize the images of all possible morphisms

$g : \mathbb{F}(\underline{a}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ given by the complete linear series $|M|$. Moreover It is clear that all rational normal scrolls $X \in \mathbb{F}_{\underline{a}}$ are projectively equivalent in $\mathbb{P}^n$.

Therefore fixing a morphism $g_0 : \mathbb{F}(\underline{a}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$, the family $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{a}}$ is isomorphic to the quotient $\mathrm{PGL}(n+1, \mathbb{K}) / \mathrm{Aut}$, where the group Aut is an algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{PGL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$ fixing the scroll $g_0(\mathbb{F}(\underline{a}))$. In particular $\mathcal{F}_{\underline{a}}$ is an irreducible quasi projective variety.

To complete the proof we must evaluate the dimension of Aut . With this aim we will show that any automorphism of $\mathbb{F}(\underline{a})$ can be lifted to an element of $\mathrm{PGL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$, i.e. $\mathrm{Aut} \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(\underline{a}))$, and we will estimate $\dim \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(\underline{a}))$.

Since $\mathbb{F}(\underline{a}) \not\cong \mathbb{F}(a, a) = \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ there is a unique projection map $\mathbb{F}(\underline{a}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, thus any automorphism on $\mathbb{F}(\underline{a})$ induces an automorphism on $\mathbb{P}^1$. It is clear that the converse holds: any automorphism on $\mathbb{P}^1$ can be lifted to an automorphism on $\mathbb{F}(\underline{a})$ and to an automorphism in Aut .

Consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{F}(\underline{a})) \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1) \rightarrow 0$$

where Aut_0 is the subgroup of automorphisms of $\mathbb{F}(\underline{a})$ commuting with the projection to $\mathbb{P}^1$. It is immediately seen that $\mathrm{Aut}_0 \cong \mathbb{P} \mathrm{Aut}(\underline{a}) = \mathbb{P} \mathrm{Aut}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i))$ (see [19, Proposition II.7.12]) and every automorphism can be lifted to an element of $\mathrm{PGL}(n+1, \mathbb{K})$. A simple induction argument shows that

$$\dim \mathrm{Aut}(\underline{a}) \geq d^2 \tag{4}$$

and equality holds if and only if $|a_i - a_1| \leq 1$ for every i .

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathcal{F}_{\underline{a}} &= ((n+1)^2 - 1) - (\dim \mathrm{Aut}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)) - 1) - 3 \\ &= n^2 + 2n - 2 - d^2 - (\dim \mathrm{Aut}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^d \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a_i)) - d^2) \end{aligned}$$

This exactly means that

$$\dim \mathcal{F}_{\underline{a}} \leq n^2 + 2n - 2 - d^2.$$

with equality holding if and only if $|a_i - a_1| \leq 1$ for every i .

It remains the case $\underline{a} = \{a, a\}$. With respect to the above argument need to take into account also the automorphism of $\mathbb{F}(a, a) \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ swapping the two $\mathbb{P}^1$'s. See [5] for the details.

The dimension of the family $\mathcal{G}$ of rational normal curves is just a particular case of the case of rational normal scrolls. ■

Proposition A.2 *The family $\mathcal{F}_0$ is dense in the family $\mathcal{F}$ of rational normal scrolls of dimension d and degree $n - d + 1$ in $\mathbb{P}^n$.*

Proof. (See [23, Exercises 22, 23, chapter 2]).

Consider a multindex $\underline{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_d)$, and assume that $a_i \geq 0$ for every i and $a_1 \geq 1$. We do not need to assume that $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_d$. We will prove that there is a family of scrolls in $\mathbb{P}^n$, each of them image of $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$, which degenerates to a scroll which is image of $\mathbb{F}(a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d + 1)$. This is clearly enough to conclude the statement.

Consider at first the $(d + 1)$ -dimensional scroll

$$\mathbb{F}^{d+1} = \mathbb{F}(a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{n+1}$$

and let M' be the relative hyperplane divisor. The scroll $\mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d)$ belongs to the linear system $|M'|$ in $\mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ since we have the embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 : \quad \mathbb{F}(a_1, \dots, a_d) &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}(a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d, 1) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_d) \times (t_0, t_1) &\mapsto (t_0 x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d, t_1^{a_1-1} x_1) \times (t_0, t_1) \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\text{Im}(\varphi_1) = \{t_0 y_{d+1} = t_1^{a_1-1} y_1\} \subset \mathbb{F}^{d+1}$.

Similarly we have a second embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2 : \quad \mathbb{F}(a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d + 1) &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{F}(a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots, a_{d-1}, a_d, 1) \\ (x_1, \dots, x_d) \times (t_0, t_1) &\mapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots, t_0 x_d, t_1^{a_d} x_d) \times (t_0, t_1) \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $\text{Im}(\varphi_2) = \{t_0 y_{d+1} = t_1^{a_d} y_d\} \subset \mathbb{F}^{d+1}$.

Consider now the family of divisors in $|M'|$ given by the equation

$$\{t_0 y_{d+1} = \lambda t_1^{a_1-1} y_1 + t_1^{a_d} y_d\} \text{ for } \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

For $\lambda \neq 0$ we have a family of scrolls isomorphic to $\text{Im}(\varphi_1)$, which degenerates to $\text{Im}(\varphi_2)$ when $\lambda = 0$. ■

Proposition A.3 *Let S_{n+2} a set of $n + 2$ points in $\mathbb{P}^n$ in general linear position. Then the family $\mathcal{G}(S_{n+2})$ of rational normal curves containing S_{n+2} has dimension $(n - 1)$.*

Proof. It is a well known fact. See for example [8]. ■

References

- [1] M. Aprodu, *On the vanishing of higher syzygies of curves*, Math. Zeit. **241** (2002), 1–15.
- [2] M. Aprodu, *Remarks on syzygies of d -gonal curves*, Math. Res. Lett. **12** (2005), no. 2-3, 387–400.
- [3] M. Aprodu and G. Farkas, *Green’s conjecture for curves on arbitrary $K3$ surfaces*, Compos. Math. **147** (2011), no. 3, 839–851.
- [4] E. Arbarello, M. Cornalba, P. A. Griffiths, and J. Harris, *Geometry of algebraic curves. vol. I*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], vol. 267, Springer-Verlag, 1985.
- [5] F. Bogomolov and V. S. Kulikov, *On the irreducibility of Hilbert scheme of surfaces of minimal degree*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **11** (2013), no. 2, 254–263.
- [6] F. Catanese, M. Franciosi, K. Hulek, and M. Reid, *Embeddings of curves and surfaces*, Nagoya Math. J. **154** (1999), 185–220.
- [7] A. Chiodo, D. Eisenbud, G. Farkas, and F.-O. Schreyer, *Syzygies of torsion bundles and the geometry of the level l modular variety over $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$* , Inventiones mathematicae **194** (2013), no. 1, 73–118.
- [8] E. Colombo and P. Frediani, *On the koszul cohomology of canonical and prym-canonical binary curves*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **45** (2013), 1031–1040.
- [9] D. Eisenbud, *The geometry of syzygies*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 229, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2005.
- [10] D. Eisenbud and J. Harris, *On varieties of minimal degree (a centennial account)*, Algebraic geometry, Bowdoin, 1985 (Brunswick, Maine, 1985), Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 46, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987, pp. 3–13.
- [11] G. Farkas and K. Ludwig, *The Kodaira dimension of the moduli space of Prym varieties*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **12** (2010), no. 3, 755–795.
- [12] M. Franciosi, *Adjoint divisors on algebraic curves*, Advances in Mathematics **186** (2004), 317–333.

- [13] M. Franciosi, *On the canonical ring of curves and surfaces*, Manuscripta Math. **140** (2013), no. 3-4, 573–596.
- [14] M. Franciosi and E. Tenni, *The canonical ring of a 3-connected curve*, Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. (2014), to appear.
- [15] M. Franciosi and E. Tenni, *On Clifford’s theorem for singular curves*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **108** (2014), no. 3, 225–252.
- [16] M. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties*, J. Differential Geom. **19** (1984), 125–171, Appendix with R. Lazarsfeld.
- [17] M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld, *Some results on the syzygies of finite sets and algebraic curves*, Compositio Math. **67** (1988), no. 3, 301–314.
- [18] K. Han and S. Kwak, *Analysis on some infinite modules, inner projection, and applications*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364** (2012), no. 11, 5791–5812.
- [19] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52.
- [20] A. Hirschowitz and S. Ramanan, *New evidence for Green’s conjecture on syzygies of canonical curves*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **31** (1998), no. 2, 145–152.
- [21] J. O. Kleppe and R. M. Miró-Roig, *Dimension of families of determinantal schemes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), no. 7, 2871–2907.
- [22] M. Lelli-Chiesa, *Green’s conjecture for curves on rational surfaces with an anticanonical pencil*, Math. Z. **275** (2013), no. 3-4, 899–910.
- [23] M. Reid, *Chapters on algebraic surfaces*, Complex algebraic geometry (Park City, 1993) (J. Kollár, ed.), IAS/Park City Math. Ser., vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., 1997, pp. 3–159.
- [24] C. Voisin, *Green’s generic syzygy conjecture for curves of even genus lying on a K3 surface*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **4** (2002), no. 4, 363–404.
- [25] C. Voisin, *Green’s canonical syzygy conjecture for generic curves of odd genus*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 5, 1163–1190.

Marco Franciosi
Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Pisa
Via Buonarroti 1, I-56127 Pisa (Italy)
`franciosi@dm.unipi.it`

Elisa Tenni
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica “U. Dini”, Università di Firenze
Viale Morgagni, 67/a - I-50134 Firenze (Italy)
`tenni@math.unifi.it`